UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	UNITED STATES OF AM	ÆRICA, Plaintiff.	Case Number <u>CR 79-70</u>	DUL DIT
	77		ORDER OF DETENTION PEND	<u>05</u> 4177
	Mario Mark	Meg_, Defendant.		
	In accordance with	n the Bail Reform Act 1811	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on	0. 0. 0
			M. Comes The United State	1 Jan 20, 2004.
	Assistant U.S. Attorney	5. Knicht	M. Conn The United States was	s represented by
	PART I. PRESUMPTIONS A	PPLICABLE		
	/ / The defendant	is charged with an offense	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the def	
		mun 11 vo (5) years has	s elapsed since the date of conviction or the rele	leral, state or local
	,			• *
1	This establishes a re	ebuttable presumption that n	o condition or combination of conditions will r	11
	out of poison at	ad die comminute		,
	There is probab	ole cause based upon (the inc	dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to	halian 41
	The second section of the section of th	CITOTIBC		
	A. for whi	ich a maximum term of imp	risonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in	21 TI C C C 001
	4., 3	or odd., or 8 jogga of sed., Ok		
	B under 1	18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a	firearm during the commission of a felony.	FILED
	THIS COLUMNIES A LE	outtable presumption that no	Condition or combination of a 1111	esconably accuration
	Tr	as redamen and the safeth of	the community.	
	/ / No presumption	applies.		JAN 2 2009
	PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRES	SUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE		Pro-
	The defendant ha	as not come forward with an	y evidence to rebut the applicable presumption	Isl. and he therefore
	/ / The defendant ha	as come forward with eviden	ace to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wi	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and abbuogote breamthfron[2] fo Mi	IT:
	Thus, the burden of p	proof shifts back to the United	d States	
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR	proof shifts back to the United	d States.	
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR. / / The United States	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF s has proved to a prepondera	d States. R INAPPLICABLE)	
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF It is has proved to a preponderal dearance of the defendant as a	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combine the evidence of the evidence that no condition or combine the evidence of the ev	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Its has proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a searance of the defendant as a	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinequired, AND/OR	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PROOF) / The United States will reasonably assure the appoint of the United States will reasonably assure the safe	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF IS has proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as to is has proved by clear and control of any other person and the	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinequired, AND/OR nvincing evidence that no condition or combined companies.	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF S has proved to a preponderate arance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and control of any other person and the S OF FACT AND STATEMENT.	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinequired, AND/OR nvincing evidence that no condition or combine community. OF REASONS FOR DETERMINANT	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS /** The Court has tak	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as it is has proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combined in the evidence that no condition or combined in the community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. 6.3142(c) and the Still in the control of	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR // The United States will reasonably assure the app // The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows:	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderate shas proved by clear and control of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defended.	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combined in the evidence that no condition or combined evidence that no condition or combined in community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the info	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS / The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as the shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of the defendan	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combined in the evidence that no condition or combined in the community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. 6.3142(c) and the Still in the control of	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR // The United States will reasonably assure the app // The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows:	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as it is has proved by clear and control of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendant search of the defenda	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combine required, AND/OR nvincing evidence that no condition or combine community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of the	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) or Local Local Local Local Local	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as it is has proved by clear and control of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendance of SOF FACT AND STATEMENT.	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinerequired, AND/OR nvincing evidence that no condition or combinere community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) or Local Local Local Local Local	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as it is has proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT Then into account the factors so the defendance of the defendant as it is a supplied to the defendance of the defendant as it is a supplied to the defendance of the defendant as it is a supplied to the defendance of the	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combine required, AND/OR nvincing evidence that no condition or combine community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR // The United States will reasonably assure the app // The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Local factors Deveral factors	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderate arance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and contry of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendant as a sharp of the defendant of the control of t	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinerequired, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinere community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information o	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$ 841(a)(1) a Conversion Con	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and control of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT are into account the factors so the defendant search of the defendant of the local state of the defendant of the local state of the loca	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinerequired, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinere community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information o	nation of conditions
	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Local factor // Defendant, his attor PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARD	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT Then into account the factors so the defendant of the sold of the defendant of the sold of the	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinerequired, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinere community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information o	nation of conditions ation of conditions ormation submitted lakein & 2/ c well infect free succe free succe also has
2 <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> P	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / The United States will reasonably assure the app / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$ 841(a)(1) a Converse Conver	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors search as \$84 ((b)(1)(1)(b) and (1 kelp on more) are supported to the custody of the Attorious and (1 kelp on more).	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinate of the evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information o	nation of conditions ation of conditions ormation submitted accin of 2/ curls infent free suoce free suoce also has
Z Z P	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / The United States will reasonably assure the app / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Language Convertion // Defendant, his attor PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARD The defendant is committee ections facility separate to the e	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and control of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT ten into account the factors so the defendant search of the defendant of the Control of the August of the Augu	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinate of the evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information	nation of conditions ation of conditions ormation submitted cutto infect fire suoic also has
P. corre	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / / The United States will reasonably assure the app / / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Continue Color	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT Then into account the factors so the defendant of the control of the Control of the Control of the AUSA have we could be proportioned to the custody of the Attorney and the custody of the Attorney are the custody a	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinate of the evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information	nation of conditions ation of conditions ormation submitted access & 2/ confinement in a in custody pending
P corre appear of the	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / The United States will reasonably assure the app / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Local Language // Defendant, his attor PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARD The defendant is committee ections facility separate to the ecal. The defendant shall be affore te United States or on the requese	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED ON Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT then into account the factors so the defendant as a search of the defendant and the soft of the defendant and the soft of the August of the August of the Covered a reasonable opportunities to fan attorney for the Government of the august of the august of the august of the Government of the august of the Government of the Government of the august of the Government of t	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinate required, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of the informatio	nation of conditions ation of conditions primation submitted Control Action of 2/ Confinement in a in custody pending conditions
P corre appear of the	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / The United States will reasonably assure the app / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a Local Language // Defendant, his attor PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARD The defendant is committee ections facility separate to the ecal. The defendant shall be affore te United States or on the requese	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED ON Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT then into account the factors so the defendant as a search of the defendant and the soft of the defendant and the soft of the August of the August of the Covered a reasonable opportunities to fan attorney for the Government of the august of the august of the august of the Government of the august of the Government of the Government of the august of the Government of t	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinate required, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of the informatio	nation of conditions ation of conditions primation submitted Control Action of 2/ Confinement in a in custody pending conditions
P. corre appear of the definition of the definit	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR // The United States will reasonably assure the app // The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS // The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$841(a)(1) a ACCURATION CONTROLL // Defendant, his attor PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARD The defendant is committee ections facility separate to the ectal. The defendant shall be affore the United States or on the requested	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED ON Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT then into account the factors so the defendant as a search of the defendant and the soft of the defendant and the soft of the August of the August of the Covered a reasonable opportunities to fan attorney for the Government of the august of the august of the august of the Government of the august of the Government of the Government of the august of the Government of t	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) ance of the evidence that no condition or combinate of the evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information	nation of conditions ation of conditions primation submitted Control Action of 2/ Confinement in a in custody pending conditions
P corre appear of the	Thus, the burden of p. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PR / The United States will reasonably assure the app / The United States will reasonably assure the safe PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS / The Court has tak at hearing and finds as follows: USC \$ 841(a)(1) a Convertion Converti	proof shifts back to the United RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED ON Shas proved to a preponderal searance of the defendant as a shas proved by clear and concept of any other person and the SOF FACT AND STATEMENT then into account the factors so the defendant as a search of the defendant and the soft of the defendant and the soft of the August of the August of the Covered a reasonable opportunities to fan attorney for the Government of the august of the august of the august of the Government of the august of the Government of the Government of the august of the Government of t	d States. R INAPPLICABLE) unce of the evidence that no condition or combinate required, AND/OR navincing evidence that no condition or combinate community. OF REASONS FOR DETENTION et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information of the informatio	nation of conditions ation of conditions primation submitted Control Action of 2/ Confinement in a in custody pending conditions

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge